

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/746,601 | 12/21/2000 | James S. McCormick | 1400.4100290 | 1003 |
| 25697 7590 01/24/2007 ROSS D. SNYDER & ASSOCIATES, INC. PO BOX 164075 AUSTIN, TX 78716-4075 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SCHEIBEL, ROBERT C | |
| AUSTIN, IA / | 8/10-40/3 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2616 | |
| | | | | |
| • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | • | 01/24/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application Number | Application/Control No. | Reexamination | Reexamination | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | 09/746,601 | MCCORMICK ET AL | MCCORMICK ET AL. | |
| | 00,7 10,001 | Art Unit | | |
| | Seema S. Rao | 2616 | | |
| Document Code - AP.PRE.I | DEC | , | | |
| Notice of Panel De | | •• | Review | |
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri | ef Request for Review filed | I <u>11/28/06</u> . | | |
| Improper Request – The Req reason(s): | uest is improper and a con | ference will not be held for | the following | |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: | | | | |
| The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm | | | Appeal or from | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent A held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice appeal brief is extendible under 37 C of the notice of appeal, as applicable | appeal because there is at in accordance with 37 CFF om mailing this decision, or of appeal, whichever is grFR 1.136 based upon the | least one actual issue for a R 41.37. The time period for the balance of the two-mo eater. Further, the time per | appeal. Applicant r filing an appeal nth time period riod for filing of the | |
| ☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows: | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-11, 14-1</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 12, 13,</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consid | 17, and 19-23. | | ٠. | |
| 3. Allowable application – A cor Allowance will be mailed. Prosecutio applicant at this time. | nference has been held. The non the merits remains clo | ne rejection is withdrawn an osed. No further action is re | d a Notice of equired by | |
| 4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | |
| All participants: | | A . (| | |
| (1) <u>Seema S. Rao</u> . Seema S. Rao | (3) <u>Rob</u> | ert Scheibel. (LC) | | |
| (2) Tommy Chin | 07 (4) <u>·</u> | | | |